

## INDEX

Sl. No	Particulars of Document	Page No. of Part to which it belongs.		Remark
		Part I (Content of Paper Book)	Part II (Content of file alone)	
(1)	(ii)	(iii)	(IV)	(V)
1.	Court fee	860/-		
2.	Listing Proforma	A1-A2		
3.	Cover Page of Paper Book		A-3	
4.	Index of Record of Proceedings		A-4	
5.	Defect List		A-5	
6.	Note Sheet		NS1 to	
7.	Synopsis	B –O		
8.	Writ Petition with affidavit	1-14		
9.	<b>Appendix</b> Art 14 of the Constitution of India	15		
10	ANNEXURE P-1	16-17		

	Copy of order dated 03.08.2018 passed in Writ Petition (C) No. 878/ 2018.			
11	ANNEXURE P-2 Copy of order dated 31.08.2018 passed in Writ Petition Nos. 790/17, 878/18 & 922/18.	18-19		
12	ANNEXURE P- 3 Copy of order dated 14.01.2019 passed in Writ Petition NO. 878/18 by this Hon'ble Court.	20-21		
13	ANNEXURE P- 4 The case status of Writ Petition bearing D. No, 10951 / 2020.	22		
14	ANNEXURE P- 5 Copy of the case status of D. NO. 11006 of 2020.	23-24		
15	ANNEXURE P-6 Copy of supplementary list issued on 23.04.2020.	25-26		
16	ANNEXURE P- 7	27-28		

	Copy of complaint/ email dated 24.04.2020.			
17	ANNEXURE P-8 Copy of complaint/ email dated 25.04.2020 sent by petitioner .	29		
18	ANNEXURE P-9 Copy of the status report of Writ Petition I bearing D. NO. 11552 / 2020.	30		
19	ANNEXURE P/10 Copy of email dated 29.05.2020 sent by petitioner.	31		
20	ANNEXURE P- 11 Copy of Circular bearing CBA / Registry.31/2020 dated 29/05/2020 issued by Respondent No. 6/ SCBA.	32-33		
21	F/M		34	

B

**SYNOPSIS & LIST OF EVENTS**

The present writ petition is being filed before this Hon'ble Court in public interest under Art 32 of the Constitution of India by a practicing lawyer in this Hon'ble court inter alia seeking issuance of writ of mandamus directing the respondents not discriminate, humiliate the litigants and to give equal treatment to all litigants by avoiding Pick and Choose Policy as adopted by respondents.

It is routine in the Registry where some law firms / influential advocates are given preference by the Section Officers / Registry for reasons best known to them. This is discrimination and against equal opportunity to get justice in this Hon'ble Court.

The petitioner also seek indulgence of this Hon'ble Court as there is no mechanism as well as redressal of the complaints against the erring officers of the Registry who favor some law firms/ advocate for reasons best know to them. There are many petitioner / lawyers have been suffering by unequal treatment by the Registry as the cases filed by some law firms/ influential lawyers are immediately listed by Registry ignoring the cases of ordinary petitioner / lawyers.

The petitioner filed a writ petition I No. 10951 on 17.04.2020. The Registry had pointed out two false defects i.e.

1. COURT FEES OF RS.530/- NOT GIVEN
2. DOCUMENTS TO BE PLACED AS PER INDEX
3. DETAIL GIVEN IN INDEX INCOMPLETE AND ANNEXURES NOT FILED. MATTER TO BE RECHECKED AGAIN.

The Petitioner had clarified vide email dated 18/04/2020 that the petitioner has already paid Court Fee of Rs. 730 (668 +38) and there is no annexure with the petition. The petitioner was forced to pay more court fee to get listed his matter.

Petitioner had also filed application and letter for urgency. Petitioner made several requests to list the matter. But the Registry failed to register and list the said writ petition.

On 23/04/2020, one Writ Petitioner bearing D. NO. 11006 / 2020 titled as Arnab Ranjan Goswami Vs UOI filed at 8:07 PM without annexure(s) i.e. copies of FIR. The said matter is filed in the category of 1418 – Criminal Matter Others. The Registry had chosen not to point out any defects, verified within minutes and uploaded a special supplementary list at 09:07 (21:07:56

**D**

as mentioned on the supplementary list) and listed the matter within one hour. The said category is not specified in the notification of prescribed category to be heard during nation lock-down. There is no procedure was followed by the Registry i.e. filing application for urgent hearing or letter etc which was necessary for the urgent listing of the cases during nation lock down.

Seeing the disparity and discrimination in the part of Respondents, Petitioner made a complaint to Respondent No. 1 against the illegal activities of Registry. But no response was given to respondent on his complaints.

The petitioner being member of SCBA, also requested to Secretary /executive members of SCBA against the Registry for not listing the said Writ Petition. Seeing partiality in the part of Registry, the SCBA drafted a Resolution against the illegal activities and discrimination in part of Registry which is still pending.

On 27/04/2020 the writ petition of petitioner was listed after intervention of officials of SCBA.

The Petitioner filed a Writ Petition I No. 11236 of 2020 on 12.05.2020 which was not updated by registry till today. When

**E**

petitioner enquired from the section, it is conveyed that there is no defects in said writ petition. The copy of Writ Petition is missing and Registry will get another copy of writ petition. Thereafter, there is no update by the Registry in regard to a Writ Petition I No. 11236 of 2020.

The Petitioner filed another Writ Petition bearing D. No. 11552 / 2020 on 19.05.2020/ 20.05.2020. The dealing officer pointed out defects on 26.05.2020. The defects were pointed out by the dealing officer after 6 days of filing avoiding application for urgency as under:

“MATTER NEEDS TO BE RECHECK AS WHOLE INDEX IS BLANK, PETITION, AFFIDAVIT, VAKALATNAMA, MEMO OF APPERANCE AND APPLICATION ALL ARE UNSIGNED AND DEFICIT COURT FEE ETC.”

The petitioner again clarified that the signed documents are also uploaded. The matter is urgent therefore, petitioner again uploaded writ petition along-with signed documents on the same day i.e. 26.05.2020.

The dealing hand again put defect on 29.05.2020 after three day i.e.

**F**

“APPLICATION IS NOT PROPER AS HEADING NOT TALLY WITH INDEX AND BE SPECIFIC ABOUT THE SUBJECT AND PRAYER OF APPLICATION”

The petitioner again cured the defect on 29.05.2020. The dealing officer did not recheck the matter. On 02.06.2020, Petitioner made a call on 01123112265 and requested to section officer to direct the dealing officer to re-check the mater as it require an urgent hearing. On 02.06.2020, the matter was rechecked and it is numbered. The said D. NO. 11552 was filed on 20.05.2020, registered on 23.05.2020, defects pointed out on 26.05.2020, defects again pointed out on 29.05.2020, rechecked on 02.05.2020, registered on 05.06.2020 verified on 06.05.2020 and listed on 06.07.2020 (computer generated) after not considering application for urgency which will infructuous the case. This is treatment to ordinary litigant and lawyer by the Registry even in case of urgency.

The petitioner again filed an application for urgency and sent a mail to know the status and listing of his matter. It was conveyed by the officer that the matter is sent for verification and thereafter, will be listed. The petitioner got a message from Registry i.e. matter bearing D. no. 11552 / 2020 likely to be listed on 06.07.2020. The petitioner sent a mail at [mention.sc@sci.nic.in](mailto:mention.sc@sci.nic.in) requesting that it is an urgent matter and

**G**

will be infructuous if, it is not listed as soon as possible. The petitioner also informed the Registry that the delay is in part of Registry.

But there is no response from the Registry and there is no grievance mechanism to deal with complaints against the Registry. The Registry is also not willing to list the D. NO. 11552/2020 despite of application for urgency.

It is impossible for the litigants to pay more court fee or printing charges as illegally demanded by Registry. There is no system to return the excess court fee / charges taken by Registry. The Supreme court Bar Association has also got various complaints against the mal-functioning of Registry therefore, a Circular dated 29/05/2020 was issued by SCBA in this regard.

The Petitioner also seeks indulgence of this Hon'ble Court for violation of order (s) & judgment (s) of this Hon'ble Court by the Registry / Section of this Hon'ble Court. The petitioner is regular petitioner of this Hon'ble Court and found that the Registry do not list the matter despite of the direction of this Hon'ble Court.

17.08.2017 The petitioner filed a Writ Petition (C) No. 790/

**H**

2017 before this Hon'ble Court which was listed on 31.08.2019 after notice.

03.08.2018 The petitioner filed a Writ Petition (C) No. 878/ 2018 before this Hon'ble Court which was listed on 03.08.2018 on which court made following order

“Let a copy of this petition be served on Mr. M. K. Maroria, the assisting counsel of Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Additional Solicitor General.

The matter be listed on 31.08.2018.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Additional Solicitor General shall obtain instructions, in the meantime.”

Copy of order dated 03.08.2018 passed in Writ Petition (C) No. 878/ 2018 is enclosed herewith as ANNEXURE P- 1 (P 16-17).

31.08.2019 Both the above said Writ Petitions were taken together by the court and this Hon'ble Court pleased to pass a common order dated 31.08.2018 in which, Ld Counsel appearing for Union of India sought 12 weeks time to file

comprehensive report and same was granted by this Hon'ble court vide order dated 31.08.2019. Copy of order dated 31.08.2018 passed in Writ Petition Nos. 790/17, 878/18 & 922/18 is enclosed herewith as ANNEXURE P- 2 (P18-19)

14.01.2019 One of the writ petition bearing no. 878/ 18 was illegally de-tagged by the Registry and list the matter on 14.01.2019 without any office report in regard to comprehensive report and common order dated 31.08.2019. Copy of order dated 14.01.2019 passed in Writ Petition NO. 878/18 by this Hon'ble Court is enclosed herewith as ANNEXURE P- 3 (P 20-21).

16.04.2020 The petitioner filed a writ Petition civil bearing D. NO. 10951/2020 and paid Court fee. There was no annexure filed with the said writ petition. The Registry has taken almost double amount of court fee. The case status of Writ Petition bearing D. No, 10951 / 2020 is enclosed herewith as ANNEXURE P-4 (P 22)

**J**

- 17.04.2020 The Registry has pointed out unnecessary defects. i. e. annexure not filed, court fees not paid and documents to be placed as per index .
- 18.04.2020 The petitioner filed Writ Petition as per its indexing. Having no other option, the petitioner again deposited court fee and clarified that there is no annexure with this writ petition. The Petitioner filed urgency letter / application. Petitioner made several request and complaints in this regard. There is no response from Registry.
- 23.04.2020 One Writ Petition bearing D. NO. 11006/ 2020 titled as Arnab Ranjan Goswami Vs UOI at 8:07 PM without annexure(s) i.e. copies of FIR. The said matter is filed in the category of 1418 – Criminal Matter Others. The Registry had chosen not to point out any defects and uploaded a special supplementary list at 09:07 (21:07:56 as mentioned on the supplementary list) and listed the matter within one hour. The said category is not specified in the notification of prescribed

**K**

category to be heard during nation lock-down. There is no procedure was followed by the Registry i.e. filing application for urgent hearing or letter etc which was necessary for the urgent listing of the cases during nation lock down. Copy of the case status of D. NO. 11006 of 2020 is annexed herewith as ANNEXURE P- 5 (P 23-24).

The respondents issued a special supplementary list within one hour on 23.04.2020 without pointing out defects and without following procedure in non categorized matter during national lockdown. Copy of supplementary list issued on 23.04.2020 at 21:07:56 is enclosed as Annexure P-6 (P 25-26)

24.04.2020 Seeing this discrimination in the part of Registry / filing section / listing branch, Petitioner gave his compliant to Secretary General, Supreme Court of India. No response was given by respondent no. 1. Copy of complaint/ email dated 24.04.2020 sent by petitioner is enclosed herewith as ANNEXURE P-7(P 27-28)

**L**

- 25.04.2020 The Petitioner again sent a mail addressing to respondent No. 1 for not listing Writ Petition of petitioner despite of his several requests and complaints. No response was given by respondent no. 1. No response was given by Registry. Copy of complaint/ email dated 25.04.2020 sent by petitioner is enclosed herewith as ANNEXURE P- 8 (P-29)
- 27.04.2020 The Registry has listed the matter on 27.04.2020. In application & Letter for urgent listing, the petitioner and his counsel opted to attend their case through video conferencing facility at supreme court. But despite of several request, the security in-charge / Mr Marwah denied said facility and petitioner was forced to argue his case before this Hon'ble Court through his own mobile phone.
- 19.05.2020/  
20/05.2020 The Petitioner filed another Writ Petition bearing D. No. 11552 / 2020. The petitioner also filed an application for urgent listing with said Writ Petition. In-spite of said application, the said

**M**

matter was not checked till 25.05.2020. The counsel for petitioner got message from Registry i.e. matter is lying defects. The Registry found unsigned documents. The petitioner clarified that the signed documents were also annexed. Copy of the status report of Writ Petition I bearing D. NO. 11552 / 2020 is enclosed herewith as annexure P-9 (P 30)

26.05.2020 The dealing officer pointed out defects on 26.05.2020. The defects were pointed out by the dealing officer after 6 days of filing avoiding application for urgency as under:

“MATTER NEEDS TO BE RECHECK AS WHOLE INDEX IS BLANK, PETITION, AFFIDAVIT, VAKALATNAMA, MEMO OF APPERANCE AND APPLICATION ALL ARE UNSIGNED AND DEFICIT COURT FEE ETC.”

The petitioner again clarified that the signed documents are also uploaded. The matter is urgent therefore, petitioner again uploaded writ petition along-with signed documents on the same day i.e. 26.05.2020.

**N**

29.05.2020 The dealing hand again put defect on 29.05.2020 after three day i.e.

"APPLICATION IS NOT PROPER AS HEADING NOT TALLY WITH INDEX AND BE SPECIFIC ABOUT THE SUBJECT AND PRAYER OF APPLICATION."

The petitioner again cured the defects on 29.05.2020. The dealing officer did not recheck the matter. Copy of email dated 29.05.2020 is enclosed herewith as ANNEXURE P- 10 (P-31).

29.05.2020 Due to irregularities in the part of respondents Registry, Respondent no. 6 / President of SCBA issued a circular stating therein-

"The Executive Committee of SCBA wants to draw your attention towards inconvenience being faced by the members of the bar regarding Filing /e-filing, listing, mentioning, Letter Circulation and miscellaneous filing due to Covid-19 restriction as there is no proper assistance by the Registry and the helpline number provided by the Registry is not working properly and members are facing

○

the problems regarding the feedback and the members are also unable to contact the concerned officers due to the blocking of Proximity Card and restriction in entry to the High Security Zone.”

There are numbers of complaints / requests/ email/ SMS made to respondents against their illegal activities and reply to RTI which may be filed after due permission of this Hon’ble Court. The Evidence against the illegal activities is not filed due to present circumstance.

Copy of circular bearing CBA/Registry.31/2020 dated 29.05.2020 issued by Respondent no. 6/ SCBA is enclosed herewith as ANNEXURE P-11 (P 32-33).

02.06.2020 On 02.06.2020, Petitioner made a call on 011-23112265 and requested to section officer to direct to dealing officer to re-check the matter as it require an urgent hearing. On 02.06.2020, the matter was rechecked and it is numbered.

07.06.2020 Hence, this writ petition is being filed.



Supreme Court , New Delhi-110001.

- |  |                            |
|--|----------------------------|
| 4. Registrar / Section Officer, Mentioning Section, Supreme court of India, Bhagwan Dass Road, Supreme Court , New Delhi-110001.               | Contesting respondent<br>4 |
| 5. Registrar / Section Officer, Verification Section/ Authority, Supreme court of India, Bhagwan Dass Road, Supreme Court , New Delhi- 110001. | Contesting respondent<br>5 |
| 6. Supreme Court Bar Association, through its' President, Supreme Court of India, Bhagwan Dass Road, Supreme Court , New Delhi-110001.         | Contesting respondent<br>6 |
| 7. Union of India through Secretary, Law and Justice Department, Shastri Bhavan, New Delhi-110001  | Contesting Respondent 7    |

To,  
Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India  
and His Companion Justices of  
The Supreme Court of India;

The humble petition of the Petitioner above-named

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. The Petitioner is filing this Writ Petition in public interest under Article 32 read with Article 14 of the Constitution of India.
2. That, the Petitioner is constrained to file this Writ Petition before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India as relief(s) have been claimed against the illegal activities of Registry of this Hon'ble Court for which this Hon'ble Court only has the jurisdiction.
3. That, the Petitioner is constrained to file the above Writ Petition before this Hon'ble Court as it has no other efficacious remedy.

4. The Petitioner is an Advocate and member of the SCBA. The postal address of the petitioner is mentioned in the cause title.
5. The Petitioner has no personal gain, private motive or oblique reason in filing the present writ petition.
6. The Petitioner states that no civil, criminal or revenue litigation involving the Petitioner or which has or could have a legal nexus with the issue involve in the present writ petition.
7. That, the Petitioner is citizen of India and filing the present writ petition for the common cause and the benefits of the society at large.
8. There is violation of Articles 14 of the Constitution of India.
9. That the Petitioner states that he has not approached any of the court (s) earlier for the relief sought in this petition.

10. That the Petitioner has not filed any similar petition previously before this Hon'ble Court or before any High Court.
11. That this petition is preferred without prejudice to each other inter alia on the following grounds :-

GROUND'S OF THE WRIT PETITION

- 11.1 Because there is violation of fundamental rights of litigants/ ordinary lawyers/ members of SCBA as guaranteed under Art 14 of the Constitution of India.
- 11.2 Because no equal treatment is given to ordinary petitioner / lawyer by the Registry of this Hon'ble Court.
- 11.3 Because, there is inequality and discrimination in the part of Registry while dealing with the brief of ordinary lawyers / litigant and give preference to some law firms / influential lawyers.
- 11.4 Because, the Registry / Respondent no.2 to 5 do not point out the defects, send their cases for verification do not follow the norms & immediate list the cases filed by some law firms, touts and influential lawyers and

list their cases without following procedure by ignoring pending case lists.

- 11.5 Because Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 had collided with each other, immediately cleared and listed non categorized D. NO. 11006/ 2020 in late hours without pointing out defects and following procedure as per notification.
- 11.6 Because Respondents are also involved in bench hunting due to said reason, D. NO. 110006/ 2020 is immediately cleared by Registry.
- 11.7 Because Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 did not follow the norms for urgent listing of case in D. NO. 11006/2020. As per notification, after curing the defects, Petitioner has to file an application for urgency before 2 PM and Registry will decide and list the matter in urgent category after considering the ground (s) stated in application.

- 11.8 Because, Respondent nos. 2 to 5 did not allow any other petitioner or counsel for petitioner to file their cases in category of 1418 criminal - matter other during lock down period as this category was not notified in cases to be listed during national lock down.
- 11.9 Because, the Respondent no. 2/ Filing Section take several days to check / point out the defects if, it is filed by an ordinary petitioner or lawyers and list the cases within few minutes by ignoring the defects / procedure if, it is filed by any influential lawyer or petitioner.
- 11.10 Because, the officers in filing, listing, mentioning and verification section dealt with D. NO. 110006 are involved in unethical practice and require a strict and punitive action.
- 11.11 Because, the Respondent no. 2/ Filing Section do not list the matter despite of written request / application for urgency if, it is filed by an ordinary petitioner or lawyers.
- 11.12 Because, the Registry / Respondents have not left any option for ordinary lawyers / litigants as the litigant

approach the law firms or influential lawyers or touts for quick listing of their cases.

11.13 Because, Respondent no. 1 did not take any action against the respondent nos. 2 to 5 for their illegal activities.

11.14 Because, the Respondent Registry has been harassing the petitioner / litigants by demanding excess fee and charges.

11.15 Because, the Respondent no. 1 has been harassing the petitioner/ litigant by pointing out unnecessary and false defects with an intention to delay the cases.

11.16 Because, the Registry of this Hon'ble Court may illegally de-tagged the matter by ignoring of order / direction of this Hon'ble Court.

11.17 Because the Registry failed to obey the order / direction of this Hon'ble Court and do not list the matter despite of court's order to list the matter within in specific period.

- 11.18 Because of above-said reasons and discrimination in the part of Respondents, it is very difficult to approach this Hon'ble Court or to do practice as a practitioner which also violate the fundamental rights to chose profession.
- 11.19 Because, there is a need of hour to frame strict rules in regard to filing, listing and other internal procedural work done by respondents and to decide accountability of the Section Officers/ Respondents in regard to their respective misdeed and punish them accordingly.
- 11.20 Because, there are several litigants who have been waiting for their turn to list the matter but the respondents ignoring their cases, have been listing the cases of influential petitioner / lawyers and law firms.
- 11.21 Because Article 14 of the Indian Constitution provide equality before law and prohibits any kind of discrimination.
- 11.22 Because, the respondent have not listing my writ Petition bearing D NO. 11552/ 2020 despite of

application for urgency as petitioner made several complaints against their illegal activities.

- 11.23 Because, the Respondents have been charging Rs. 6/- per page as printing charges and several times charging printing charges.
12. The injuries caused to society / litigants as the Registry has been giving preference to some law firms / influential lawyers and listing their matters by ignoring the cases filed by ordinary petitioner / lawyers.
13. The petitioner seeks permission to exempt the attested / affirmed affidavit, hard / photocopies of paper books (3+1) of Writ Petition and deficit court fee (if any ) in the prevailing circumstances as the matter is urgent and need urgent hearing. The petitioner is also agree for hearing of the present writ petition through video conferencing .

#### PRAYER

It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that your lordships may be pleased to allow this Writ Petition and may further be pleased:-

(i) to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents not to give preferences to the cases filed by influential lawyers / petitioner, touts and law firms; and/or

(ii) to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to equal treatment to the cases filed by ordinary lawyer / petitioner and / or

(iii) to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents not to point out unnecessary defects in cases of ordinary advocate / petitioner and refund the excess court fee and other charges and or

(iv) to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents not to de-tagged the cases without order / direction of this Hon'ble court and / or

(v) to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent no. 1 to take action against the erring officer for their involvement in listing clearing and bench hunting etc and or

(vi) to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to take reasonable printing charges/ other charges and / or

(vii) to pass such other Writ (s), order (s) or direction(s) as is deemed fit and proper in the premises of the case, which is not specifically prayed for hereinabove.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS THE PETITIONER SHALL AS IN DUTY BOUND EVER PRAY.

Draft on: 06.06.2020

Drawn and Filed By:

Filed on: 07.06.2020



Reepak Kansal

Petitioner in Person

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Writ Petition (C) No. \_\_\_\_\_/2020

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reepak Kansal

...Petitioner

Versus

Secretary General, Supreme Court of India & Ors.

...Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT

I, ....., do hereby solemnly affirm and state as under:

- 1 I am Petitioner in the aforesaid matter and I am fully conversant with the facts and circumstances of the case.
- 2 The contents of synopsis and list of dates from pages B to O, Writ Petition from pages 1 to 12 , and paper -book has total 55 pages have understood by me and I say that the facts mentioned therein are true to my personal knowledge and belief.

- 3 The Petitioner has no personal gain, private motive or oblique reason in filing the present Writ Petition.
4. The petitioner is unable to file attested / affirmed affidavit, hard / photocopies of paper books (3+1) of Writ Petition in the present circumstances and undertake to deposit deficit court fee (if any ).

Deponent

#### Verification

Verified at Delhi on this 06<sup>th</sup> June, 2020 that the contents of the paragraphs in the above affidavit from para 1 to 4 are true and correct to my knowledge and belief. Nothing is false and nothing material has been concealed there from.

Deponent

## APPENDIX

### **The Constitution Of India 1949**

#### **Article 14**

Equality before law The State shall not deny to any person equality before the law or the equal protection of the laws within the territory of India Prohibition of discrimination on grounds of religion, race, caste, sex or place of birth.

(TC)



ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s).878/2018

REEPAK KANSAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION)

Date : 03-08-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R. S. Suri, Sr. Adv. Dr. Ashutosh Garg,  
AOR Mr. Reepak Kansal, petr.-in-person Mr. Pravir Chaudhari,  
Adv. Mr. Sagar Sharma, Adv. Mr. Yadunandal Bansal, Adv.  
Mr.Preetam Kumar,

Adv. For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

**17**

O R D E R

Let a copy of this petition be served on Mr. M. K. Maroria, the assisting counsel of Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Additional Solicitor General. The matter be listed on 31.08.2018. Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Additional Solicitor General shall obtain instructions, in the meantime.

(Chetan Kumar)

AR-cum-PS

(H.S.Parasher)

Assistant Registrar



(TC)

**18**

ANNEXURE P/2

ITEM NOS.2+6+19 COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 790/2017

REEPAK KANSAL & ANR.

Petitioners

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondents

Writ Petition (C) No. 878/2018 (FOR ADMISSION)

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 922/2018 (FOR ADMISSION)

Date : 31-08-2018 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.M. KHANWILKAR

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

For Petitioners Mr. R.S. Suri, Sr. Adv. Petitioner-in-person Mr. Sagar Sharma, Adv. Mr. Pritam Shah, Adv. Mr. Yadunandan

**19**

Bansal, Adv. Mr. Pravir Choudhary, AOR Dr. Ashutosh Garg,  
AOR For Respondents Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv. Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv. Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi, Adv. Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR Mrs. Anil Katiyar, AOR Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, Adv. Ms. Meenakshi Grover, Adv. Mr. Raj Bahadur, Adv. WP(C) 790/2017 etc.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

## O R D E R

Mr. Ashok Kumar Panda, learned senior counsel appearing for the Union of India prays for twelve weeks' time to file a comprehensive report after considering the objections. Let the matter be listed after twelve weeks.

(Deepak Guglani)  
Court Master

(H.S. Parasher)  
Assistant Registrar

  
(True copy)

**20**

**ANNEXURE P/3**

ITEM NO.17

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 878/2018

REEPAK KANSAL

Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA

Respondent

(FOR ADMISSION) Date : 14-01-2019

This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL

For Petitioner

Petitioner-in-person

Dr. Ashutosh Garg, AOR

For Respondent

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Adv.

**21**

Mr. P.V. Yogeshwaran, Adv.

Mr. G.S. Makker, Adv. (AOR)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

## O R D E R

Having heard the petitioner-in-person and upon perusing the relevant material on record, we are not inclined to entertain this writ petition. The same is accordingly dismissed.

However, it will be open for the petitioner to represent his case and the cases of other like persons before the competent authority, if so advised.

(Deepak Guglani)  
Court Master

(Anand Prakash)  
Court Master

  
(True Copy)

**22**  
**ANNEXURE P/4**

Diary No.- 10951 - 2020  
REEPAK KANSAL vs. UNION OF INDIA

[Case Details](#)  
[Indexing](#)  
[Earlier Court Details](#)  
[Tagged Matters](#)

[Listing Dates](#)  
[Interlocutory Application / Documents](#)  
[Court Fees](#)  
[Notices](#)  
[Defects](#)

**Default Details**

S.No.	Default	Remarks	Notification Date	Removed On Date
1	Other	COURT FEES OF RS.530/- NOT GIVEN	17-04-2020	
2	Other	DOCUMENTS TO BE PLACED AS PER INDEX.	17-04-2020	
3	Other	DETAIL GIVEN IN INDEX INCOMPLETE AND ANNEXURES NOT FILED. MATTER TO BE RECHECKED AGAIN.	17-04-2020	

(TC)

23

**ANNEXURE P/5**

Diary No.- 11006 - 2020

ARNAB RANJAN GOSWAMI vs. UNION OF INDIA

<u>Case Details</u>	
Diary No.	<p><b>11006/2020</b> Filed on 23-04-2020 08:07 PM</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>DISPOSED</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>[SECTION: X]</b></p>
Case No.	W.P.(Crl.) No. 000130 - / 2020 Registered on 25-04-2020
Present/Last Listed On	<p><b>19-05-2020 [HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA and HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI]</b></p>
Status/Stage	<p><b>DISPOSED (Motion Hearing FOR JUDGEMENT) Disposed off-Ord dt:19-05-2020 (Disposal Date: 19-05-2020, Month: 5, Year: 2020)</b></p> <p><b>JUDGES: HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA, HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI</b></p> <p><b>Judgement Pronounced by : HON'BLE DR.</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>24</b></p> <p><b>JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD of the bench comprising : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE</b></p>

	M.R. SHAH
Disp.Type	Disposed Off
Category	1418-Criminal Matters : Others
Act	
Petitioner(s)	1 ARNAB RANJAN GOSWAMI B-1701/1702, RAHEJA ATLANIS CHS, G. K. MARG, LOWER PAREL , DISTRICT: MUMBAI ,MUMBAI , MAHARASHTRA



(True Copy)

**25**

**ANNEXURE P/6**



**SUPREME COURT OF INDIA**  
**[ IT WILL BE APPRECIATED IF THE LEARNED ADVOCATES**  
**ON RECORD DO NOT SEEK ADJOURNMENT IN THE MATTERS**  
**LISTED BEFORE ALL THE COURTS IN THE CAUSE LIST ]**

DAILY CAUSE LIST FOR DATED : 24-04-2020

Virtual Court No. 2

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE D.Y. CHANDRACHUD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

(TIME : 10.30 AM)

**NOTE:-**

[ LD. ADVOCATES ARE REQUESTED TO ADDRESS THE COURT THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING MODE IN TERMS OF CIRCULAR DATED MARCH 23, 2020 AND CONTINUATION CIRCULAR DATED MARCH 26, 2020 ]

AND

[ LD. ADVOCATES-ON-RECORD ARE REQUESTED NOT TO SHARE VIDEO CONFERENCING LINK WITH ANYBODY. SHARING OF LINK WILL DEACTIVATE THE ORIGINAL LINK SHARED WITH ADVOCATE-ON-RECORD ON HIS E-MAIL. ONLY ONE LINK WILL BE ACTIVATED FOR VIDEO CONFERENCING ]

**SUPPLEMENTARY LIST**  
**MISCELLANEOUS HEARING**

<b>SNo.</b>	<b>Case No.</b>	<b>Petitioner / Respondent</b>	<b>Petitioner/Respondent Advocate</b>
-------------	-----------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------------

**[FRESH (FOR ADMISSION) - CRIMINAL CASES]**

**26**

2	Diary No. 11006-2020 X	ARNAB RANJAN GOSWAMI	PRAGYA BAGHEL
---	------------------------------	----------------------	------------------

DAILY CAUSE LIST FOR DATED : 24-04-2020  
Virtual Court No. 2

*Versus*  
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.  
**IA No. 48402/2020 - EX-  
PARTE STAY**

---

NEW DELHI  
23-04-2020 21:07:56

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR



**(TC)**

**27**

**ANNEXURE P/ 7**

Fri, Apr 24, 6:14  
PM

**Reepak  
Kansal <reepak.kansal@gmail.com>**  
to mention.sc@sci.nic.in

To  
The Secretary General,  
Supreme Court.  
New Delhi.

Sub: Grievance against the functioning of Registry.

Sir,

In continuation of my earlier complaint, i request you to kindly see the timing and date of filing of D. NO. 11006/ 2020 titled as Arnab Ranjan Goswami Vs UOI. The date and timing of said D. Number is at 8:07 PM on 23.04.2020.

The Registry had uploaded a special supplementary list at 09:07 (21:07:56 as mentioned on the supl. list) and listed the matter within one hour.

**28**

As per notification, urgent hearing application / request will be taken up after 2 PM and same will be listed on the next day after considering the ground of urgency.

No procedure followed by Registry.

You are requested to take action for abovesaid special arrangements of listing of said matter.

thanking you,

Reepak Kansal  
Ch- 237, Seetalvad Block,  
Supreme Court Delhi-01.



**(TC)**

**29**

**ANNEXURE P/8**

Sat, Apr 25, 5:34  
PM

**Reepak  
Kansal <reepak.kansal@gmail.com>**  
to mention.sc@sci.nic.in

To  
Secretary General  
Supreme Court  
New Delhi

subject : no response by Registry.

Sir,

I have filed above-said writ petition bearing D. NO. 10951 / 20. despite of my several complaints / requests, the registry has not given the exact status of said matter till date.

Sir, I have also filed several complaints against the functioning of Registry. I didn't get any response from the Supreme Court in regard to my complaints/ grievance.

Please ask them to send me present status of my writ petition and complaints against Registry.

thanking you

Reepak Kansal

9212250235

  
(T,C)

**30**

**ANNEXURE P/9**

Diary No.- 11552 - 2020

REEPAK KANSAL vs. UNION OF INDIA

Diary No.	<b>11552/2020</b> Filed on 23-05-2020 11:21 AM <b>PENDING</b> [SECTION: <b>PIL-W</b> ]
-----------	--

Case No.		W.P.(C) No. 000522 - / 2020 Registered on 05-06-2020 (Verified On 06-06-2020)		
Tentatively case may be listed on <b>(likely to be listed on)</b>		06-07-2020 (Computer generated)		
S.No.	Default	Remarks	Notification Date	Removed On Date
1	Other	MATTER NEEDS TO BE RECHECK AS WHOLE INDEX IS BLANK, PETITION, AFFIDAVIT, VAKALATNAMA, MEMO OF APPERANCE AND APPLICATION ALL ARE UNSIGNED AND DEFICIT COURT FEE ETC.	26-05-2020	02-06-2020
2	Other	APPLICATION IS NOT PROPER AS HEADING NOT TALLY WITH INDEX AND BE SPECIFIC ABOUT THE SUBJECT AND PRAYER OF APPLICATION	29-05-2020	02-06-2020

**(TC)**



**31**

**ANNEXURE P-10**

D.No. 11552/2020

May 29, 2020, 1:47 PM (9  
days ago)

**Reepak  
Kansal** <reepak.kansal@gmail.com>  
to efileing@sci.nic.in

Sir,

Plz provide me the name of dealing officer who is intentionally delaying an urgent matter. i shall file complaint against him/her to Secretary general. i tried to search him name on the case status.

Harisha S R

Reepak Kansal



**(TC)**

**32**

**ANNEXURE P-11**

CBA/Registry.31/2020

May, 29, 2020

To  
The Secretary General,  
Supreme Court of India,  
New Delhi.

Sub: Setting up of Two Help Desks/Physical Counters for members of the Bar for filing, mentioning, Letter Circulation and miscellaneous matters in the Supreme Court,

Sir,

The Executive Committee of SCBA wants to draw your attention towards inconvenience being faced by the members of the bar regarding Filing/e-filing, listing, mentioning, Letter Circulation and miscellaneous filing due to Covid-19 restriction as there is no proper assistance by the Registry and the helpline number provided by the registry is not working properly and members are facing the problems regarding the feedback and the members are also unable to contact the concerned officers due to the blocking of Proximity Card and restriction in entry to the High Security Zone.

**33**

Hence, in the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the Executive Committee of SCBA request your good self to provide two Help

Desk Counters to maintain proper social distancing norms and as per Covid-19 guidelines outside the High Security Zone in front of UCO Bank to redress the grievances of the members and to facilitate all the issues concerned with filing, listing, adjournment and miscellaneous matters.

Looking forward for your positive response which has always been given by you.

Regards,

Sd/-  
Rohit Pandey  
Acting Hony. Secretary SCBA,



(True Copy)

WRIT PETITION (C.) NO. \_\_\_\_\_ / 2020

(Writ Petition Under Article 32 Of The Constitution Of India)

In the matter of:

Reepak Kansal

...Petitioner

Versus

Secretary General, Supreme Court of India and Ors.

...Respondents

FILING MEMO

---

	Court fee
Writ petition with affidavit Vakalatnama	530/-
Scanning fee 6/- per page 55	330
Total	860/-



Reepak Kansal  
Petitioner in person  
[reepak.kansal@gmail.com](mailto:reepak.kansal@gmail.com)  
M: 9212250235